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## Introduction

- Compare to vehicles data, pedestrian data are not widely/easily available
- Two main streams of safety analysis:

1. Based on historical observed accidents and injury data

- Limited number of observed accident
- Requires several years of observation and data gathering
- Does not provide detail about the cause(s) of the accident

2. Based on surrogate measures of safety

- Focuses on dangerous conflicts instead of accidents
- Conflicts occur more frequently than accidents
- Statistically sufficient data can be collected in a shorter time period



## Introduction

- Large curb radii at intersection corners
- reduce pedestrian visibility
- high-speed turning movements
- This can lead to dangerous interactions and potentially collisions with pedestrians
- Adjustment to intersection curb radius
- reduce turning vehicle speeds
- reduce crossing distance for pedestrians
- Improve pedestrian safety at intersections


## Introduction

- Geometric modifications at various intersections in City of Toronto
- Following complaints from the public and observation from staffs
- Davenport / Christie
- Yorkwoods / Driftwood
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## Objectives

- Applying a surrogate safety approach to evaluate the effectiveness of curb radii reduction
- speed of turning vehicles
- frequency and severity of conflicts between turning vehicles and pedestrians



## Methodology

- Two surrogate safety indicators
- traffic speed
- vehicle-pedestrian conflicts
- Post Encroachment Time (PET) between a pedestrian and a turning vehicle
- PET is defined as the time between the first road user leaving the common spatial zone (where two road users could potentially collide) and the second road user arriving to the common spatial zone



## Methodology

- 3 days of video for before study and 3 days for after study
- Video data were recorded on weekdays from 7am to 7pm
- Total of 144 hours for 2 intersections

| Davenport / Christie |  | Yorkwoods / Driftwood |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before | After | Before | After |
| August $2^{\text {nd }}, 2016$ | November 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2016 | September $13{ }^{\text {th }}, 2016$ | May 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2017$ |
| August 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$, 2016 | November 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2016 | September 14 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2016 | May $10^{\text {th }}$, 2017 |
| August 4th, 2016 | November 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2016 | September 15 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2016 | May $11^{\text {th }}$, 2017 |

## Results - Trajectory Heatmaps



## Results - Speeds and Counts

|  | Right turning <br> vehicles |  | Pedestrians |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before | After | Before |  | After

Davenport / Christie
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## Results - Conflict Heatmaps



## Results - Conflict Rates



## Davenport / Christie

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { High Risk Conflict Rate }=\frac{\left(N P E T_{H}\right) * 10^{6}}{(\text { Pedestrians per hour }) *(\text { Turning-Vehicles per hour })} \\
\text { Medium Risk Conflict Rate }=\frac{\left(N P E T_{M}\right) * 10^{6}}{(\text { Pedestrians per hour }) *(T u r n i n g-\text { Vehicles per hour })} \\
\text { Low Risk Conflict Rate }=\frac{\left(N P E T_{\mathrm{L}}\right) * 10^{6}}{(\text { Pedestrians per hour }) *(\text { Turning-Vehicles per hour })}
\end{gathered}
$$



- Low Risk Conflict Rate was reduced by 72\%
- Medium Risk Conflict Rate was reduced by 38\%
- High Risk Conflict Rate was reduced by 30\%


## Results - Trajectory Heatmaps



## Results - Speeds and Counts

|  | Right turning vehicles |  | Pedestrians |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before | After | Before | After |
| Count | 1645 | 1542 | 348 | 297 |
| Avg. <br> Speed | $\begin{gathered} 17.7 \\ \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.9 \\ \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \\ \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{gathered}$ |
| Std. Dev. | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{gathered}$ |
| Median Speed | $\begin{gathered} 17.9 \\ \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.0 \\ \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.8 \\ \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{gathered}$ |



## Results - Conflict Heatmaps

Yorkwoods / Driftwood

PET $\leq 1 s \quad 1 s<P E T \leq 3 s$


## Results - Conflict Rates



## Yorkwoods / Driftwood

High Risk Conflict Rate $=\frac{\left(N P E T_{H}\right) * 10^{6}}{(\text { Pedestrians per hour }) *(T u r n i n g-\text { Vehicles per hour })}$
Medium Risk Conflict Rate $=\frac{\left(N P E T_{M}\right) * 10^{6}}{(\text { Pedestrians per hour }) *(\text { Turning-Vehicles per hour })}$
Low Risk Conflict Rate $=\frac{\left(N P E T_{\mathrm{L}}\right) * 10^{6}}{(\text { Pedestrians per hour }) *(\text { Turning-Vehicles per hour })}$


- Low Risk Conflict Rate was reduced by $90 \%$
- Medium Risk Conflict Rate was reduced by 100\%
- High Risk Conflict Rate was reduced by 100\%

- Conflict frequencies and rates dropped significantly after adjustment in curb radius of the studied intersections
- For signalized intersection of Davenport / Christie:
- Low Risk Conflict Rate was reduced by 72\%
- Medium Risk Conflict Rate was reduced by $38 \%$
- High Risk Conflict Rate was reduced by $30 \%$
- For un-signalized intersection of Driftwood / Yorkwoods:
- Low Risk Conflict Rate was reduced by $90 \%$
- Medium Risk Conflict Rate was reduced by $100 \%$
- High Risk Conflict Rate was reduced by $100 \%$
- No significant change in turning vehicle speed were observed
- This project was completed for the City of Toronto by Brisk Synergies Tech Corp in collaboration with Ontario Traffic Inc. (OTI)
- OTI was in charge of camera installation and video recording, and Brisk Synergies Tech Corp carried out the video data generation and analysis


## Thank you!

## sohail@brisksynergies.com



## Speed Distribution for Vehicles Involved in a Conflict



Davenport / Christie

|  | PET $\leq$ 3s |  | PET > 3s |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before | After | Before | After |
| Average $(\mathbf{k m} / \mathbf{h})$ | 16.51 | 15.55 | 18.76 | 19.85 |
| Median $(\mathbf{k m} / \mathbf{h})$ | 15.40 | 14.49 | 19.78 | 20.95 |
| 85 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile $(\mathbf{k m} / \mathbf{h})$ | 22.79 | 21.05 | 24.76 | 26.32 |

