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## Background

- Low-volume roads (LVRs) are an integral part of the US highway system providing critical access to remote rural areas and tourist attractions.
- These roads generally have high fatality rates with most of its design being substandard compared to modern safety standards.
- The Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) is a federally mandated program in the US whose main aim is to reduce fatal and serious injury crashes significantly.
- HSIP requires a data-driven strategic approach for the reduction of fatal and serious injury crashes.
- Most states use these data-driven strategic approaches but most of these funds and efforts end up being focused on major highways and primary route networks.
- Therefore, LVRs do not receive attention because of their low traffic exposure and the small number of sporadic crashes occurring on them.
- Further many of the LVRs are owned and operated by local agencies because of which only a little information is available for safety management on LVRs.
- The HSIP annual reporting provides good high-level information regarding safety management practices of different states.
- However, detailed information regarding safety management on LVRs is not available.
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Aim and Method

- The aim of this research is to collect detailed information regarding the safety management practices for LVRs by different state agencies.
- A survey was designed and sent to all 50 states.
- The survey had two parts. The first part inquired about the safety management practices for stateowned LVRs. The second part inquired about the safety management practices for non-state-owned LVRs.
- Out of these 50 states, 32 responded resulting in a response rate of 64 percent.
- The following figure shows the responding states in maroon color.



## Results

- Crash severity is the most frequently used criterion for site identification on LVRs. Also, around 48 percent of the respondents use the FHWA systemic approach in combination with one or more of other network screening criteria.
- Cost effectiveness was the most frequently reported criterion in justifying safety improvement projects on state-owned as well as non-state owned LVRs.


## Results

- Around two thirds of the responding agencies reported having access to crash, traffic, and roadway data for LVRs. However, only one third of those agencies reported having access to roadside data as well. Further, half of the respondents reported that roadway and traffic data for non-state-owned local roads are collected by both the state and the local agency.
- About 80 percent of respondents have a separate method for selecting sites on state-owned LVRs than from other state-owned conventional roads.
- Around 90 percent of the respondents involve local agencies in identifying safety improvement sites on non-state-owned LVRs. Crash experience at sporadic sites was the most frequently reported method for identifying safety improvement sites on non-state-owned local roads.
- About 55 percent of the respondents reported using one process for site selection on state-owned and non-state-owned LVRs.
- Most of the respondents (70 percent) reported not allocating a set amount of funds for safety projects on non-state-owned LVRs. Further, similar percentage reported allocating less than 20 percent of total safety funds to systemic improvements on non-state-owned local roads.
- Around 90 percent of the responding agencies have the same personnel leading the safety improvement program for state-owned and non-state-owned LVRs.
- Unpaved roads are not involved in safety improvement programs on non-state-owned local roads for 61 percent of the respondents. This is a major safety concern given that many of the lowvolume local roads in remote rural areas are unpaved.

