

# Investigating the feasibility of using an innovative new alcohol and cannabis impairment testing device based on Drug Recognition Experts' protocol

---

Ilan Nachim, Ehsan Daneshi, Aaron North, Yaser Roshan

**CannSight Technologies Inc.**

CARSP Conference 2022

Sudbury, Ontario

June 19-21, 2022

Collaborating on the United Nations' (UN) Decade of Action for Road Safety



# Introduction

- Drug Recognition Expert Testing most widely recognize and accepted method for drug and alcohol impairment detection
- Significantly underutilized due to lack of resources both at roadside and police stations
- Increased risk of injury or death to public from drug-impaired driving.

# The Problem

**33% of traffic fatalities are the result of impaired driving**

RCMP

**3% of night time drivers were impaired between late night and early morning hours, Thursday through Sunday**

RCMP



## Urine & oral fluid testing is ineffective:

- Identifies **if** a person has used drugs; not impairment
- Lab analysis / confirmation takes 2 – 5 days
- *“Drager has defended its (oral fluid) test, saying it was never designed to test for impairment, but to identify the presence of THC” – Canadian Press*



## Roadside testing (SFST) is inadequate:

- Specifically designed for alcohol impairment screening.
- Does not detect impairment from cannabis or other drugs.
- *“The Standard Field Sobriety Test is not sensitive to clinically relevant driving impairment caused by oral THC.” - Society for the Study of Addiction*



## Police Station testing (DRE) is inefficient:

- Cannot be completed at roadside
- Testing time is approximately 40 mins.
- Severe shortage of trained experts – limited access, nationally

# Drug Recognition Expert Evaluations

- 1. Breath Alcohol Test
- 2. Interview of Arresting Officer
- 3. Preliminary Examination (includes pulse check)
- 4. Eye examination (HGZ, VGN, convergence)
- 5. Divided Attention Tests (Romberg, Walk and Turn, One leg stand, finger to nose)
- 6. Vital Signs (blood pressure, pulse, temperature)
- 7. Dark Room Checks (pupil sizes)
- 8. Muscle Tone
- 9. Check for injection Sites
- 10. Interrogation of Subject
- 11. Opinion of evaluator
- 12. Toxicological Sample Urine and/or oral or blood


# CannSight 1.0



**Tools for analyzing  
Eye Behavior.**



**Technology for evaluating  
Brain Function.**



**Instruments for  
measuring Biophysical  
Parameters.**



**Operator station for  
computing results.**



### Testing Protocol (Full DRE Protocol)

- ✓ Eye tests
- ✓ Divided attention tests
- ✓ Bio-signal and indicators
- ✓ Interview with subject

### Advantages

- Simulates entire, court admissible, DRE test
- Detects impairment from **all drug classes**

- Remote operation option
- Real time interpretation
- Workplace Drug Testing Clinics

# Study Parameter

- Proof of concept trial evaluating device with standard DRE protocol.
- 12 subjects (6 male, 6 females) divided into cannabis and alcohol group
- All subjects tested by an approved oral fluid swab test (Dräger DrugTest<sup>®</sup> 5000) and a breathalyzer before starting any testing procedure to detect other drugs of abuse in their body.

# Study Parameter

- Subjects drank/smoked to achieve the estimated levels for blood alcohol level (BAC > 8-10 mg/100ml) and THC concentration (> 5 ng/ml), respectively.
- A total of 48 impairment assessment tests were conducted under the supervision of a medical doctor (Medical cannabis & addiction medicine specialist).



# Study Parameter

- Each subject was tested four times for impairment as follows:
  - A baseline pre-drinking/smoking test by an in-person DRE (gold standard)
  - A post-drinking/smoking test by an in-person DRE (gold standard)
  - A baseline pre-drinking/smoking test by CannSight 1.0
  - A post-drinking/smoking test by CannSight 1.0






# Results

- All subjects achieved the target levels for body alcohol level (BAC > 8-10 mg/100ml) and THC concentration (> 5 ng/ml), respectively.
- 83% of alcohol subjects were determined to be impaired following consumption of alcohol by both the in-person DRE and CannSight 1.0.
- All cannabis subjects self-rated their impairment levels on a visual analog scale between the numbers of 6 to 9 (0=Not High, 10= Very High) following inhalation.
- 33.3% of cannabis subjects were determined to be impaired by the in-person DRE and CannSight 1.0 after consuming cannabis (THC > 5 ng/ml).
- More than 80% of subjects answered YES when they were asked if they would drive (after they had achieved the target BAC and THC levels).

# Results

- One of the cannabis subjects (frequent user) showed positive on the results of the oral fluid test (THC > 5ng/100 ml) before cannabis consumption at the trial site.
- The baseline impairment determinations by the in-person DRE and CannSight 1.0 showed that he was not impaired.
- Following cannabis consumption, his second oral fluid test was confirmed to be positive (THC > 5ng/ml).
- However, he failed post-smoking impairment tests done by the in-person DRE and CannSight 1.0.
- Test results were confirmed by the medical specialist.

# Summary

	<b>Objective</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• To determine if CannSight 1.0 accurately and reliably simulates the DRE testing protocol.</li></ul>
	<b>Subjects</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 12 subjects in total (6 alcohol and 6 cannabis)</li></ul>
	<b>Test location</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Toronto, Ontario</li></ul>
	<b>Results</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 100% match between in-person DRE testing and the results of CannSight 1.0 (sensitivity 100%, specificity 100%)</li></ul>
	<b>Conclusion</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• CannSight 1.0 is <b>validated</b> for use in measuring drug and alcohol impairment and is a valuable tool for remote DRE testing.</li></ul>

# Discussion:

- In line with previous literature, our results show that there is NOT a linear correlation between THC levels in oral fluid / blood and actual mental and psychomotor impairment levels.
- Our results indicate that self-perceived level of mental impairment does not correlate with actual impairment levels, as shown in DRE and CannSight 1.0 assessments.

# Conclusion:

- The results of this study show that the accuracy of impairment assessments done by CannSight 1.0 is comparable with the results of the tests done by DREs in person.
- Reliable device to perform the DRE protocols, the current gold standard for impairment detection, objectively and accurately at any location and time.
- Application in communities in areas with limited DRE access
- Future directions:
  - Substance specific recognition via machine learning protocols
  - Rapid roadside impairment detection

# Future directions



## CannSight 1.0 40 minuets

### Testing Protocol (Full DRE)

- ✓ Eye tests (4)
- ✓ Divided attention tests (4)
- ✓ Bio-signal and indicators (5)
- ✓ Interview with subject (1)

### Advantage

- Simulates entire, court admissible, DRE test
- Detects impairment from **all drug classes**
- Location agnostic

### Use Cases

- Police Stations
- Workplace Drug Testing Clinics



## CannSight Mobile 10 minuets

### Testing Protocol (Modified DRE)

- 1/2 Eye tests (2)
- ✓ Divided attention tests (4)
- 1/2 Bio-signal measurements (2)

### Advantage

- Rapid impairment detection
- Targets **alcohol and cannabis** impairment.

### Use Cases

- Roadside
- Remote Worksites

**1) ERRATIC DRIVER: Roadside Officer conducts CannSight Mobile test (10 mins)**



**2) Video / bio-signal data uploaded for remote DRE analysis**

**3) DRE sends impairment decision to Roadside Officer (3 mins)**

**SUSPECTED IMPAIRMENT:  
Roadside Officer instructed to send subject to Station.**

**AI-assisted Analysis by DRE**



**1) Station Officer conducts CannSight Stationary test (40 mins)**



**2) Data uploaded for DRE analysis**

**3) DRE sends IMPAIRMENT CONFIRMATION to Station Officer (3 – 5 mins)**



Questions ?